PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	1	1	MAY	2006
WIPO				PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agen	t's file reference			G T DCGGGGGGA		
CRD5075PCT0		FOR FURTHER ACT		See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.		International filing date (d	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US05/13039	61 18 1 (22.5)	15 April 2005 (15.04.2005		15 April 2004 (15.04.2004)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC						
USPC: 606/200						
Applicant						
CORDIS CORPOR						
Examir	1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This R	EPORT consists of	a total of $\frac{5}{2}$ sheets, inclu	ding this cover shee	t.		
- ^						
a. 1						
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
			•	ority considers contain an amendment		
		ond the disclosure in the d the Supplemental Box.	international applica	ation as filed, as indicated in item 4 of		
ъ. 🔲			otal of (indicate type	and number of electronic carrier(s))		
, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4 TVI:			• •			
K 7		ations relating to the follow	wing items:			
	Box No. I Ba	asis of the report				
	Box No. II Pr	riority				
		on-establishment of opinioplicability	on with regard to no	velty, inventive step and industrial		
	_	ack of unity of invention				
\boxtimes				n regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement		
		ertain documents cited	nois and explanatio	is supporting such statement		
	Box No. VII C	ertain defects in the intern	ational application			
	Box No. VIII C	ertain observations on the	international applic	ation		
Date of submission	on of the demand		Date of completion	of this report		
15 November 2005	(15.11.2005)		03 April 2006 (03.04	2006)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ US			Authorized officer			
	PCT, Attn: IPEA/US		1	u/cel		
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450				- '		
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Telephone No. 703-308-0858						
	Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(April 2005)					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

	International application No.	
i	PCT/US05/13039	

Во	x No.	I B	nsis of the report		
1.	With	regard	to the language, this report is based on:		
	the international application in the language in which it was filed.				
	a translation of the international application into <u>English</u> , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:				
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))			
			publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))		
			nternational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))		
2.	to the	receiv	to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished ing Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not a is report):		
		the in	rernational application as originally filed/furnished		
	\boxtimes		scription:		
			1,7 and 10 as originally filed/furnished * NONE received by this Authority on		
			* 2-6,8,9,11 and 12 received by this Authority on 15 November 2005 (15.11.2005)		
	\boxtimes	the classical pages pages			
	\boxtimes	the dr	awings:		
			1-3 as originally filed/furnished		
			* NONE received by this Authority on * NONE received by this Authority on		
	Ш	a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.		
3.		The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
			the description, pages		
			the claims, Nos		
			the drawings, sheets/figs		
			the sequence listing (specify):		
			any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):		
4.			eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).		
			the description, pages		
			the claims, Nos.		
			the drawings, sheets/figs		
			the sequence listing (specify):		
			any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):		
* I,	f item	4 арр	lies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US05/13039

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims	2,5	YES		
, ,		1,3,4,6,7	NO		
Inventive Step (IS)		2			
	Claims	1 AND 3-7	NO		
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-7	YES		
	Claims	NONE	NO		
distal ends of the filter, and extend outwardly from each o axis of the filter. The stent has 3 pairs of struts and is mad Claims 1,3,4,6 and 7 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2 Boyle discloses a filter made out of pairs of stru	of strut p ther at int e out of n) as being its. At the	airs which extend from adjacent each other near the prermediate portions. When compressed, the struts are pritinol. anticipated by Boyle, et al6540722. ends of the filter, the pairs of struts are adjacent each of	arallel to the		
intermediate sections, the struts curve away from each other. The struts go from an axial orientation to an expanded orientation. The filter is made out of nitinol. Claim 5 an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Boyle, et al'722. Boyle discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of the number of struts. It would not have involved an inventive step to have used 12 struts, as Boyle discloses in col. 23 lines 15 and 16 that the number of struts can vary.					
Claim 2 meets the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the intermediate portions of each one of the pairs tends to curve away from each other and touches one of another pair of struts.					
Claims 1-7 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.					
NEW CITATIONS					